# PRODUCTS OF FITTING CLASSES OF FINITE GROUPS

BY

ZVI ARAD (ARDINAST)

#### ABSTRACT

Using Fitting classes we generalize some well known theorems on centralizers in finite groups.

## 1. Introduction

In this work, a group means a finite group. A nonempty class of finite groups  $\theta$  is called a Fitting class if: it is homomorphism-invariant, for every  $G \in \theta$  every normal subgroup of G is a  $\theta$ -group, and the product of normal $\theta$ -subgroups of an arbitrary group G belongs to  $\theta$ . A group G is called a  $\theta$ -group if  $G \in \theta$ . In particular, the product  $F_{\theta}(G)$  of all normal  $\theta$ -subgroups of G is a  $\theta$ -group.

Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  be Fitting classes and denote by  $\theta\theta'$  the class of groups G such that  $G/F_{\theta}(G) \in \theta'$ . We prove in Theorem 2.9 that  $\theta\theta'$  is Fitting class. Now define:

$$\theta_n = \begin{cases} \theta & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \\ \theta' & \text{for } n \text{ even} \end{cases} \qquad (\theta \theta')_2 = \theta \theta' \\ \text{and} \\ (\theta \theta')_n = (\theta \theta')_{n-1} \theta_n \end{cases}$$

We obtain that  $F_{(\theta\theta')n}(G)/F_{(\theta\theta)n-1}(G) = F_{\theta_n}(G/F_{(\theta\theta')n-1}(G))$  (Corollary 2.14).

If  $F_{\theta}(G/F_{\theta}(G)) = 1$ , we shall say that G has  $\theta$ -length of order 1. A finite group is called  $\theta\theta'$ -separable if every composition factor of G is either a  $\theta$ -group or a  $\theta'$ -group. Let  $\gamma_{\pi}$  denote the Fitting class of  $\pi$ -groups. Now if we set  $\theta_{\pi} = \theta \cap \gamma_{\pi}$ we may express our principal result as follows:

THEOREM A. If  $C_G(F_{\theta_{\pi}}(G))$  is  $\theta_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$ -separable with  $\theta_{\pi}$ -length of order 1, then  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$  implies that  $C_G(F_{\theta_{\pi}}(G)) \subseteq F_{\theta_{\pi}}(G)$ .

Received December 16, 1971 and in revised form March 14, 1972

Various corollaries of Theorem A generalize well known theorems of group theory.

In Corollary 4.7, we prove that if  $C_G(O_{(\pi'\pi)_n}(G))$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , is  $\pi$ -separable then  $C_G(O_{(\pi'\pi)_n}(G)) \subseteq O_{(\pi'\pi)_n}(G)$ . For n = 2 we obtain that if  $C_G(O_{\pi'\pi}(G))$  is  $\pi$ -separable then  $C_G(O_{\pi'\pi}(G)) \subseteq O_{\pi'\pi}(G)$ . In particular we obtain Lemma 1.2.3 of Hall-Higman [3]: If  $C_G(O_{\pi}(G))$  is  $\pi$ -separable and  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$  then  $C_G(O_{\pi}(G)) \subseteq O_{\pi}(G)$ .

Let v denote the Fitting class of nilpotent groups. Corollary 4.8 says that if  $C_G(F_{(\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi})_n}(G))$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , is  $\pi$ -solvable, then  $C_G(F_{(\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi})_n}(G)) \subseteq F_{(\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi})_n}(G)$ . In particular, since  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$  implies that  $F_{v_n}(G) = F(G)$ , we obtain (when F(G) is a Fitting subgroup of G): if  $C_G(F(G))$  is  $\pi$ -solvable and  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$  then  $C_G(F(G)) \subseteq F(G)$ . In addition, if we define  $F^1(G) = F(G)$  and  $F^n(G)/F^{n-1}(G) = F(G/F^{n-1}(G))$ , then Corollary 4.8 yields the following result: if  $C_G(F^n(G))$  is solvable then  $C_G(F^n(G)) \subseteq F^n(G)$ .

The last two corollaries generalize the well-known theorem that if G is solvable then  $C_G(F(G)) \subseteq F(G)$ .

Finally let K be an  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of the  $\pi$ -solvable group G, i.e., K is a  $\pi$ -group and |G:K| is divisible by no primes in  $\pi$ . We prove in Theorem 4.12 that  $C_G(K \cap F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)) \subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)$ . This result generalizes, to  $\pi$ -solvable groups, the theorem that if P is an  $S_p$ -subgroup of the p-solvable group G, then  $C_G(P \cap O_{p'p}(G)) \subseteq O_{p'p}(G)$ .

All these corollaries are derived from Theorem A by considering various Fitting classes.

These results are part of the author's doctoral research at the Tel Aviv University. The author wishes to express his appreciation to his thesis advisor, Professor M. Herzog, for his devoted guidance and encouragement.

### 2. On Fitting classes

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the basic notation of [1] and [2].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $\theta$  be a nonempty class of finite groups. If  $G \in \theta$ , we shall say that G is a  $\theta$ -group.

The class  $\theta$  is a *Fitting Class* if the following conditions hold:

(i) Epimorphic images of  $\theta$ -groups are  $\theta$ -groups.

(ii) Normal subgroups of  $\theta$ -groups are  $\theta$ -groups.

(iii) The product of normal  $\theta$ -subgroups of a group G is a  $\theta$ -group.

Condition (i) does not appear in the definition of [1] and [4]. Since we assume that  $\theta$ -groups exist, it follows by (i) that  $1 \in \theta$ .

Vol. 12, 1972

### FINITE GROUPS

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $\gamma_{\pi}$  denote the class of  $\pi$ -groups, and  $\nu$  the class of nilpotent groups.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Both  $\gamma_{\pi}$  and v are Fitting classes.

One can now form the characteristic subgroup  $F_{\theta}(G)$  of G, which is the product of all normal  $\theta$ -subgroups of G. Note that if  $\theta$  is the class v then  $F_{v}(G) = F(G)$ is just the Fitting subgroup of G, and if  $\theta$  is the class  $\gamma_{\pi}$  then  $F_{\gamma_{\pi}}(G) = O_{\pi}(G)$ .

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $\theta, \theta'$  be Fitting classes. Then  $\theta\theta'$  is the class of groups G such that  $G/F_{\theta}(G) \in \theta'$ .

We wish to prove that  $\theta\theta'$  is a Fitting class. First, we state four Lemmas, which follow easily from the definitions.

LEMMA 2.5. If  $\theta, \theta'$  are Fitting classes then  $\in \theta\theta'$  if and only if there is a normal subgroup  $N \in \theta$  of G such that  $G/N \in \theta'$ .

LEMMA 2.6. If  $\theta$  is a Fitting class and N is a normal subgroup of a group G, then  $F_{\theta}(G)N/N \subseteq F_{\theta}(G/N)$ .

LEMMA 2.7. If V, W, M are subgroups of a group G such that  $M \triangleleft V$  and  $W \triangleleft G$ , then VW/MW is an epimorphic image of V/M.

LEMMA 2.8. Let  $\theta$  be a Fitting class and K a normal subgroup of a group G. Then  $F_{\theta}(K) = K \cap F_{\theta}(G)$ .

The previous lemmas imply the following:

THEOREM 2.9. Let  $\theta, \theta'$  be Fitting classes. Then  $\theta\theta'$  is a Fitting class.

Let  $\theta, \theta', \theta'', \dots, \theta^{(n)}, \dots$  be Fitting classes. By Theorem 2.9 the symbols  $F_{\theta\theta'}(G), F_{(\theta\theta')\theta''}$ , etc., are well defined.

We shall write  $\theta \theta' \theta'' \cdots \theta^{(n)}$  for  $(\cdots ((\theta \theta') \theta'') \cdots) \theta^{(n)}$ .

LEMMA 2.10. Let  $\theta, \theta'$  be Fitting classes. Then

- (i)  $F_{\theta}(G) \subseteq F_{\theta\theta'}(G)$
- (ii)  $F_{\theta}(G) \subseteq F_{\theta'\theta}(G)$ .

This follows at once from the definitions.

DEFINITION 2.11. Let  $\theta, \theta'$  be Fitting classes and let

$$\theta_n = \begin{cases} \theta & \text{for } n & \text{odd} \\ \\ \theta' & \text{for } n & \text{even} \end{cases}$$

We define the Fitting Classes:  $(\theta \theta')_1 = \theta \ (\theta \theta')_2 = \theta \theta'$  and  $(\theta \theta')_n = ((\theta \theta')_{n-1})\theta_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ .

### Z. ARAD

Now, if  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$  are Fitting classes it is clear that  $(\theta_1 \theta_2)\theta_3 = \theta_1(\theta_2 \theta_3)$ . Therefore we shall write the Fitting class  $(\theta \theta')_n$  by  $\theta \theta' \cdots \theta_n$ .

DEFINITION 2.12. Let  $\theta$ ,  $\theta'$  be Fitting classes. By repeated applications of Lemma 2.10, we obtain a sequence of characteristic subgroups of G:

$$1 \subseteq F_{\theta}(G) \subseteq F_{\theta\theta'}(G) \subseteq F_{\theta\theta'\theta}(G) \subseteq \cdots.$$

This sequence is called the  $\theta\theta'$ -Series of G.

Using the above lemmas we get

**THEOREM 2.13.** Let  $\theta, \theta'$  be Fitting classes. Then

$$F_{\theta\theta'}(G)/F_{\theta}(G) = F_{\theta'}(G/F_{\theta}(G))$$

By induction we get (see def. 2.11.):

COROLLARY 2.14.

$$F_{(\theta\theta')n}(G)/F_{(\theta\theta')n-1}(G) = F_{\theta_n}(G/F_{(\theta\theta')n-1}(G)).$$

EXAMPLE 2.15. The  $\gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi}$ -series of G is the upper  $\pi$ -series of G. (For the definition of the upper  $\pi$ -series of G see [2], chap. VI).

# 3. The main theorem

We shall now study two basic classes of groups which generalize the classes of  $\pi$ -solvable groups and  $\pi$ -separable groups.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\theta$ ,  $\theta'$  be Fitting classes. We shall say that G is  $\theta\theta'$ -separable if every composition factor of G is either a  $\theta$ -group or a  $\theta'$ -group.

EXAMPLE 3.2. G is  $\pi$ -separable if and only if G is  $\gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$ -separable.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let  $\theta$ ,  $\theta'$  be Fitting classes. We shall say that G is  $\theta\theta'$ -solvable if every composition factor is either a  $\theta'$ -group or both an  $\theta$ -group and a p-group for some prime p.

EXAMPLE 3.4. G is  $\pi$ -solvable if and only if G is  $\gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$ -solvable.

DEFINITION 3.5. Let  $\text{Sep}(\theta, \theta')$  denote the class of  $\theta\theta'$ -separable groups, and  $\text{Sol}(\theta, \theta')$  the class of  $\theta\theta'$ -solvable groups.

The definitions immediately yield:

LEMMA 3.6. Let  $\theta, \theta'$  be Fitting classes, and let H be a normal subgroup of G such that K and G/K are both either Sep $(\theta, \theta')$ -groups or Sol $(\theta, \theta')$ -groups. Then G is a Sep $(\theta, \theta')$ -group or a Sol $(\theta, \theta')$ -group, accordingly.

### FINITE GROUPS

LEMMA 3.7. Let  $\theta, \theta'$  be Fitting classes. Then  $\text{Sep}(\theta, \theta')$  and  $\text{Sol}(\theta, \theta')$  are Fitting classes.

**PROOF.** Observe that as an immediate consequence of the definitions, homomorphic images and normal subgroups of  $\theta\theta'$ -separable groups are also  $\theta\theta'$ -separable.

Now, if H and K are normal  $\theta\theta'$ -subgroups of the group G, then Lemma 3.6 implies that HK is a normal  $\theta\theta'$ -separable subgroup of G. We obtain the conclusion for Sol $(\theta, \theta')$  similarly.

**LEMMA** 3.8. Let  $\theta$ ,  $\theta'$  be Fitting classes, and let G be a  $\theta\theta'$ -separable group. Then:

(i) A minimal normal subgroup of G is either a  $\theta$ -group or a  $\theta'$ -group. (ii)  $F_{\theta}(G) = F_{\theta'}(G) = 1$  implies G = 1.

(iii) The  $\theta\theta'$ -series of G terminates with G.

**PROOF.** (i) Let K be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Then K is characteristically simple, whence K is the direct product of isomorphic simple groups  $K_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $K \triangleleft G$ , there exists a composition series of G in which  $K_1$ is the last nontrivial term, whence  $K_1$  is a composition factor of G. Since G is  $\theta\theta'$ -separable,  $K_1$ , and hence each  $K_i$ , is either a  $\theta$ -group or a  $\theta'$ -group, proving (i).

Statement (ii) follows immediately from (i).

(ii) Assume that the  $\theta\theta'$ -series of G terminates in a proper subgroup H of G. Thus,  $H = F_{(\theta\theta')n}(G) = F_{(\theta\theta')n+1}(G) \subset G$  for all  $i \ge 1$ . Corollary 2.14 implies therefore that  $F_{\theta}(G/H) = F_{\theta'}(G/H) = \overline{1}$ . Since by Lemma 3.7 G/H is  $\theta\theta'$ -separable, part (ii) yields  $G/H = \overline{1}$ , a contradiction.

DEFINITION 3.9. Let  $\theta_{\pi}$  denote the Fitting class of the intersection of a Fitting class  $\theta$  with  $\gamma_{\pi}$ , i.e.,  $\theta_{\pi} = \theta \cap \gamma_{\pi}$ . (Note that  $1 \in \theta_{\pi}$ , and an intersection of Fitting classes is obviously a Fitting class.)

DEFINITION 3.10. Let  $\theta$  be a Fitting class. We shall say that G has  $\theta$ -length of order n if the  $\theta\theta$ -series of G terminates in  $F_{(\theta\theta)_n}(G)$ , i.e.,  $F_{(\theta\theta)_{n-1}}(G) \subset F_{(\theta\theta)_n}(G)$ =  $F_{(\theta\theta)_{n+i}}(G)$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

We state now our main result.

THEOREM A. Let  $\theta$  be a Fitting class and let the subgroup  $C_G(F_{\theta_{\pi}}(G))$  of G be  $\theta_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$ -separable, with  $\theta_{\pi}$ -length of order 1. Then  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$  implies that  $C_G(F_{\theta_{\pi}}(G)) \subseteq F_{\theta_{\pi}}(G)$ .

**PROOF.** First we prove the following Lemma: If  $\theta$  is a Fitting class, then

 $F_{\theta}(C_G(F_{\theta}(G))) = Z(F_{\theta}(G)).$  We have  $C_G(F_{\theta}(G)) \cap F_{\theta}(G) = Z(F_{\theta}(G)).$  Now  $F_{\theta}(C_G(F_{\theta}(G)))$  char  $C_G(F_{\theta}(G))$  char G, so  $F_{\theta}(C_G(F_{\theta}(G))) \subseteq F_{\theta}(G).$  Consequently  $F_{\theta}(C_G(F_{\theta}(G))) \subseteq F_{\theta}(G) \cap C_G(F_{\theta}(G)) = Z(F_{\theta}(G)).$ 

On the other hand  $Z(F_{\theta}(G)) \triangleleft F_{\theta}(G)$  and  $Z(F_{\theta}(G)) \subseteq C_{G}(F_{\theta}(G))$ . Hence  $Z(F_{\theta}(G)) \subseteq F_{\theta}(C_{G}(F_{\theta}(G)))$ .

We proceed with the proof of the theorem. Set  $F_{\theta_{\pi}}(G) = H$  and  $C = C_G(H)$ . We have to show that  $C \subseteq H$  or equivalently C = Z(H). We know from the above proved lemma that  $F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C) = Z(H)$ . Thus it suffices to prove that  $C = F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C)$ Assume now that  $C \supset F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C)$ . Since C is  $\theta_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$ -separable, the  $\theta_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$ -series of C terminates with C by Lemma 3.8 (iii). By hypothesis  $F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C/F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C)) = 1$ . Since  $C/F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C)$  is  $\theta_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$ -separable, it follows by Lemma 3.8(ii) that  $L = F_{\theta_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}}(C) \supset F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C)$ Since  $L/F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C) = F_{\theta_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}}(C)/F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C) = O_{\pi'}(C/F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C))$  is a  $\pi'$ -group,  $Z(H) = F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C)$ is a normal  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of L. Hence by the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, Z(H)possesses a complement  $K \neq 1$  in L which is an  $S_{\pi'}$ -subgroup of L.

But  $K \subseteq C$  and C centralizes Z(H), whence  $L = Z(H) \times K$  and as K is a  $\pi'$ -group, we have K char L char C char G. Thus  $K \lhd G$  and consequently  $K \subseteq O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $C = F_{\theta_{\pi}}(C)$ , thus proving Theorem A.

### 4. Some consequences of the main theorem

Theorem A and the following lemmas and theorems yield important corollaries which generalize some well known theorems on finite groups.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$  be Fitting classes. We shall say that G is  $\theta_1 \dots \theta_n$ -separable if every composition factor of G is  $\theta_i$ -group for some i,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

NOTE 1. G is a  $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_n$ -group if  $G \in \theta_1 \cdots \theta_n$ , when  $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_n$  is a Fitting class.

NOTE 2. If a composition factor of G is a  $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_n$ -group then, being simple, it is a  $\theta_i$ -group for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Thus if  $\theta$  is the Fitting class  $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_n$ , then G is  $\theta$ -separable (i.e., every composition factor of G is  $\theta$ -group) if and only if it is  $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_n$ -separable.

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $\theta$  be a Fitting class with the Z property, i.e.  $G/H \in \theta$ ,  $H \subseteq Z(G)$  implies that  $G \in \theta$ . Then  $F_{\theta}(G/H) = F_{\theta}(G)/H$  for every  $H \subseteq Z(G)$ .

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $HF_{\theta}(G)/H \subseteq F_{\theta}(G/H) = R/H$ . Now  $R/H \in \theta$  implies  $R \in \theta$ . Therefore  $R/H \subseteq F_{\theta}(G)/H$ .

COROLLARY 4.3. Let  $\theta$  be a Fitting class with the Z property. Then  $C_G(F_{\theta}(G))$  has  $\theta$ -length of order 1.

PROOF. Lemma 4.2 and the first part of the proof of Theorem A imply that:  $F_{\theta}[C_{G}(F_{\theta}(G)/F_{\theta}(C_{G}(F_{\theta}(G)))] = F_{\theta}[C_{G}(F_{\theta}(G))/Z(F_{\theta}(G)] = F_{\theta}(C_{G}(F_{\theta}(G)))/Z(F_{\theta}(G))$  = 1.

**LEMMA** 4.4. If  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  are Fitting classes, and if  $\theta$  satisfies the Z property, then  $\theta\theta'$  satisfies the Z property.

**PROOF.** Let  $G/H \in \theta\theta'$  when  $H \subseteq Z(G)$ . By definition  $[G/H]/[F_{\theta}(G/H)] \in \theta'$ . Thus  $[(G/H)]/[F_{\theta}(G)/H] \in \theta'$  by Lemma 4.2. Therefore  $G/F_{\theta}(G) \in \theta'$  and we obtain  $G \in \theta\theta'$ .

LEMMA 4.5.

(i)  $\gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$  has the Z property.

(ii)  $\gamma_{\pi}v_{\pi'}$  has the Z property.

(iii)  $v_{\pi}v_{\pi'}$  has the Z property.

PROOF. (i) Let  $G/H \in \gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$  and  $H \subseteq Z(G)$ . By definition there exists a normal  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup K/H of G/H. Since H is a nilpotent group,  $H = H_{\pi} \times H_{\pi'}$  when  $H_{\pi}$  and  $H_{\pi'}$  are the  $S_{\pi}$  and  $S_{\pi'}$ -subgroup of H, respectively. Thus  $H_{\pi'}$  is a normal  $S_{\pi'}$ -subgroup of K, and an  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup  $K_{\pi}$  of K exists. Since  $H_{\pi'}$  centralizes  $K_{\pi}$ ,  $K = K_{\pi} \times H_{\pi'}$ . Hence  $K_{\pi}$  is a normal  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of G, yielding  $G \in \gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}$ .

(ii) Let  $G/H \in \gamma_{\pi}v_{\pi'}$  and  $H \subseteq Z(G)$ . Part (i) implies that G has a normal  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup  $K_{\pi}$ . Let R/H be the nilpotent  $S_{\pi'}$ -subgroup of G/H.  $H_{\pi}$  of part (i) is a normal  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of R. Hence  $R = R_{\pi'} \times H_{\pi}$ , where  $R_{\pi'}$  is an  $S_{\pi'}$ -subgroup of R, hence of G. Since R/H is nilpotent and  $H \subseteq Z(R)$  we obtain that R is nilpotent and so  $R_{\pi'}$  is nilpotent. Thus  $G \in \gamma_{\pi}v_{\pi'}$ .

Now it is a simple matter to verify (iii).

THEOREM 4.6. Let  $\theta_i \ 1 \leq i \leq n$  be Fitting classes. Let  $C_G(F_{\gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi}'\theta_1\cdots\theta_n}(G))$  be  $\gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}\theta_1\cdots\theta_n$ -separable subgroup of a group G. Then

$$C_G(F_{\gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi},\theta_1\cdots,\theta_n}(G))\subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi},\gamma_{\pi},\theta_1\cdots,\theta_n}(G).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\theta$  denote the Fitting class  $\gamma_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi'}\theta_1\cdots\theta_n$ . It is clear that  $C_G(F_{\theta}(G))$  is also  $\theta\gamma_{(\pi(G))'}$ -separable and that  $O_{(\pi(G))'}(G) = 1$  (For the meaning of  $\pi(G)$  see [2]). By Lemmas 4.5 (i), 4.4 and 4.3  $C_G(F_{\theta}(G))$  has  $\theta$ -length of order 1. Since  $F_{\theta_{\pi'}(G)}(G) = F_{\theta}(G)$ , the main theorem implies the conclusion.

COROLLARY 4.7. If  $C_G(F_{(\gamma_{\pi}'\gamma_{\pi})_n}(G))$  is  $\pi$ -separable,  $n \ge 2$ , then  $C_G(F_{(\gamma_{\pi}'\gamma_{\pi})_n}(G))$  $\subseteq F_{(\gamma_{\pi}'\gamma_{\pi})_n}(G)$ .

In particular for n=2, we obtain that if  $C_G(O_{\pi}(G))$  is  $\pi$ -separable and  $O_{\pi,r}(G) = 1$ , then  $C_G(O_{\pi}(G)) \subseteq O_{\pi}(G)$ . Corollary 4.7 is a generalized version of Lemma 1.2.3 of Hall-Higman [3]. In the same way, with minor changes, we obtained the next three conclusions.

COROLLARY 4.8 If  $C_G(F_{(\gamma_n'v_n)_n}(G))$  is  $\pi$ -solvable,  $n \ge 2$ , then  $C_G(F_{(\gamma_n'v_n)_n}(G))$  $\subseteq F_{(\gamma_n'v_n)_n}(G)$ .

In particular for n = 2 we obtain that if  $C_G(F(G))$  is  $\pi$ -solvable and  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$ , then  $C_G(F(G)) \subseteq F(G)$ . (Note that  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$  implies that  $F_{\nu_{\pi}}(G) = F(G)$ ).

COROLLARY 4.9. If G is  $\pi$ -solvable and  $\tilde{G} = G/O_{\pi'}(G)$ , then  $C_{\tilde{G}}(F(\bar{G})) \subseteq F(\bar{G})$ .

COROLLARY 4.10. If  $C_G(F_{v_{\pi},v_{\pi})n}(G))$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , is a solvable subgroup of a group G, then

$$C_G(F_{(v_{\pi'}v_{\pi})_n}(G)) \subseteq F_{(v_{\pi'}v_{\pi})_n}(G).$$

In particular for  $\pi = \pi(G)$  we obtain that if  $C_G(F^n(G))$  is a solvable subgroup of a group G, then  $C_G(F^n(G)) \subseteq F^n(G)$ , where  $F^n(G)$  is defined by induction in the following way:  $F^1(G) = F(G)$  and  $F^n(G)/F^{n-1}(G) = F(G/F^{n-1}(G))$ . Corollaries 4.8 and 4.10 are generalized versions of the well known theorem: If G is a solvable group, then  $C_G(F(G)) \subseteq F(G)$ .

THEOREM 4.11. Let G be a group and let  $\overline{G} = G/O_{\pi'}(G)$ . If K is an  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of  $F_{\gamma \pi' v_{\pi}}(G)$ , then  $C_G(K) \subseteq F_{\gamma \pi' v_{\pi}}(G)$  if and only if  $C_{\overline{G}}(F(\overline{G})) \subseteq F(\overline{G})$ .

PROOF. Set  $N = N_G(K)$  and suppose that  $C_G(K) \subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)$ . It is well known that: If  $H \triangleleft G$  and K is a nilpotent  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of H then  $G = N_G(K)H$ . Hence  $G = O_{\pi'}(G)N$ . Thus the natural homomorphism of G onto  $\bar{G}$  maps N onto  $\bar{G}$ . This implies that there exists a subgroup C on N whose image is  $C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G}))$ . Since K maps onto  $F_{v_{\pi}}(\bar{G}) = F(\bar{G})$  and  $K \triangleleft N$ , it follows that  $[C, K] \subseteq K \cap O_{\pi'}(G)$ = 1. Thus  $C \subseteq C_G(K) \subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)$ , whence  $C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G}) \subseteq F(\bar{G})$ .

On the other hand assume that  $C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G})) \subseteq F(\bar{G})$ . Since K maps onto  $F_{v_{\pi}}(\bar{G}) = F(\bar{G})$ , therefore  $C_{\bar{G}}(K)$  maps into  $C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G}))$  and consequently  $C_{\bar{G}}(K)$  maps into  $F(\bar{G})$ . Hence  $C_{\bar{G}}(K) \subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi}'v_{\pi}}(G)$ .

THEOREM 4.12. If K is an  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of the  $\pi$ -solvable group G, then  $C_G(K \cap F_{\gamma_{\pi}'v_{\pi}}(G)) \subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi}'v_{\pi}}(G)$ . In particular  $Z(K) \subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi}'v_{\pi}}(G)$ .

### FINITE GROUPS

**PROOF.** Set  $\bar{G} = G/O_{\pi'}(G)$ . Since G is  $\pi$ -solvable, Corollary 4.9 implies that  $C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G})) \subseteq F(\bar{G})$ . Now,  $K \cap F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)$  is an  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of  $F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)$ . Therefore Theorem 4.11 implies that  $C_{\bar{G}}(K \cap F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)) \subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)$ . Since Z(K) centralizes  $K \cap F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)$  it follows, in particular, that  $Z(K) \subseteq F_{\gamma_{\pi'}v_{\pi}}(G)$ .

THEOREM 4.12 is a generalized version of the well known theorem: If P is an  $S_p$ -subgroup of the p-solvable group G, then  $C_G(P \cap O_{p'p}(G)) \subseteq O_{p'p}(G)$ .

### References

1. B. FISCHER, Classes of conjugate subgroups in finite solvable groups, Yale University, of Department Math., 1966.

2. D. GORENSTEIN, Finite Groups, Harper and Row, N.Y., 1968.

3. P. HALL AND G. HIGMAN, The p-length of p-solvable group. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 7 (1956), 1-42.

4. B. HUPPERT, Endlich Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1967.

5. D. S. PASSMAN, Permutation Groups, W. A. Benjamin IMC., N. Y., Amsterdam, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY